

## Objective

Establish numeric patient priorities for primary care performance measurement that reflect the patientprovider partnership.

## Methods

Patient role: Design questionnaire; guide implementation; interpret and present results.

**Survey:** Online survey via email from primary care teams and social media from patient advisors and researchers; generate numeric estimates of patient priorities.

Focus groups: Recruited by teams; explore if and how to get numeric data about patient priorities from broader range of patients.

1: Boivin, A. et al., What Are the Key Ingredients for Effective Public Involvement in Health Care Improvement and Policy Decisions? A Randomized Trial Process Evaluation, The Milbank Quarterly, Vol. 92, No. 2, pp. 319-350.

2: Etz, R.S. et al., Stakeholder Agreement Regarding Primary Care "Measures That Matter", NAPCRG annual conference, 2016 3: Canadian Foundation for Healthcare Improvement, QUALICOPC Canada — A focus on the aspects of primary care most highly rated by current patients of primary care practices, 2014

# Survey: The numbers



## What did patients say?

### Which patients said it?

- 250 patients.

## How did they say it?

• So-called 'soft' indicators are most important in the patient-provider partnership – consistent with other efforts (1, 2).

Sicker patients had different priorities – e.g., house calls were more important to them.

• Mostly middle-aged, healthy, employed women, consistent with other efforts (3).

• A really difficult questionnaire, hence the decision to follow up with focus groups.



# Changing measurement to reflect the patient-provider partnership

C. Mulder, A. Maybee, S. Burrows, B. Clark, L. Belsito, C. MacDonald, N. Sunderji on behalf of and with thanks to the members of the Association of Family Health Teams of Ontario, Canada

"You can't keep asking what matters to patients but not changing in response to that. If you want to say you care about me, you need to do something about it!"

## Focus groups: The story *inside* the numbers What did patients say?

- to take my meds if I thought they really cared."
- Patient priorities regarding their relationship with providers must be measured on par with other performance indicators (i.e., numerically).
- 3 "Talk to us!" (vs. surveys) to get broader demographic representation.

Which patients said it? 15 patients in 3 Ontario communities.

"Patients are smart -- they know if you are faking it" Can we change to measure what *really* matters to patients?

Few of Ontario's common primary care measures (4) are among the highest priorities of patients.

The patient-provider relationship is crucial to good patient experiences AND clinical outcomes.

Sicker patients want different things from their providers but because they tend not to be part of patient engagement activities, it's hard to reflect their needs in measurement.

For more information: contact the Quality Improvement and Decision Support program, Association of Family Health Teams of Ontario (improve@afhto.ca)

"Having that caring gut relationship that [your provider] really wishes you well and is in your court.... I think it's critical" and "I might be more likely



Can we give up the myth that most of our common primary care measures matter to patients?



Can we add patient-provider relationship measures to the "hard" data used to measure primary care performance?



Can we do more to hear from patients who tend not to talk to us, even (or especially) if it's hard to do?

